

December 7, 1999

Lieutenant Arturo Valdez McAllen Police Department 1501 Pecan Boulevard McAllen, Texas 78501

OR99-3533

## Dear Lieutenant Valdez:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Texas Public Information Act, chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 129935.

The City of McAllen (the "city") received a request for several offense reports. You assert that four reports are excepted from disclosure based on sections 552.101 and 552.108 of the Government Code. We assume that the city has released to the requestor the other requested reports.

You assert that portions of the report for case no. 96-68986 are excepted from disclosure based on section 552.101 of the Government Code. Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Section 552.101 encompasses confidentiality provisions. You raise section 51.14(d) of the Family Code. Prior to its repeal by the Seventy-fourth Legislature, section 51.14(d) of the Family Code provided for the confidentiality of juvenile law enforcement records. Law enforcement records pertaining to conduct occurring before January 1, 1996 are governed by the former section 51.14(d), which was continued in effect for that purpose. Act of May 27, 1995, 74th Leg., R.S., ch. 262, § 100, 1995 Tex. Gen. Laws 2517, 2591 (Vernon).

This office has concluded that section 58.007 of the Family Code, as enacted by the Seventy-fourth Legislature, does not make confidential juvenile law enforcement records relating to conduct that occurred on or after January 1, 1996. Open Records Decision No. 644 (1996). The Seventy-fifth Legislature, however, amended section 58.007 to once again make juvenile law enforcement records confidential effective September 1, 1997. Act of June 2, 1997, 75th Leg., R.S., ch. 1086, 1997 Tex. Sess. Law Serv. 4179, 4187 (Vernon). The Legislature chose not to make this most recent amendment retroactive in application. Consequently, law enforcement records pertaining to juvenile conduct that occurred between January 1, 1996 and September 1, 1997, are not subject to the confidentiality provisions of either the former section 51.14(d) or the current section 58.007 of the Family Code. The record in question concerns conduct that occurred on December 28, 1996. Thus, the record is not deemed confidential under either Family Code provision and must be released.

You argue that the three reports for case nos. 98-33364, 98-34067 and 91-36948 are excepted from disclosure under section 552.108(a)(1) of the Government Code<sup>1</sup>. This provision states that information held by a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime is excepted from required public disclosure "if release of the information would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime." Gov't Code § 552.108(a)(1). You inform us that the requested reports pertain to a case investigations that are ongoing. However, we note that the statutory period of limitations for case no. 91-36948 has expired. See Crim. Proc. Code art. 12.01. We therefore find that the city has not established the applicability of section 552.108 to the report for case no. 91-36948. Assuming the investigations in the other two cases are ongoing, we find that the city has established that the release of the two reports "would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime." Gov't Code §552.108(a)(1).

However, section 552.108 is inapplicable to basic information about an arrested person, an arrest, or a crime. Gov't Code § 552.108(c). We believe such basic information refers to the information held to be public in *Houston Chronicle Publishing Company v. City of Houston*, 531 S.W.2d 177 (Tex. Civ. App.--Houston [14th Dist.] 1975), writ ref'd n.r.e. per curiam, 536 S.W.2d 559 (Tex. 1976). Thus, with the exception of the basic front page offense and arrest information, you may withhold the reports in case nos. 98-33364 and 98-34067 from disclosure based on section 552.108(a)(1).

Finally, the front page of one of the reports contains information that is excepted from disclosure under section 552.130 of the Government Code.<sup>2</sup> We have marked the information covered by section 552.130.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>We assume the other requested reports have been released.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Section 552.130 of the Government Code provides as follows

<sup>(</sup>a) Information is excepted from [required public disclosure] if the information relates to:

<sup>(1)</sup> a motor vehicle operator's or driver's license or permit issued by an agency of this state:

<sup>(2)</sup> a motor vehicle title or registration issued by an agency of this state; or

<sup>(3)</sup> a personal identification document issued by an agency of this state or a local agency authorized to issue an identification document.

<sup>(</sup>b) Information described by Subsection (a) may be released only if, and in the manner, authorized by Chapter 730, Transportation Code.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at 877/673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Department of Public Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

Kay H. Hastings

Kay Dastings

Assistant Attorney General Open Records Division

KHH/nc

Ref.: ID# 129935

## Lieutenant Arturo Valdez - Page 4

encl. Submitted documents

cc: Ms. Heidi Moseley

Jenkins & Gilchrist 1800 East Bank Tower San Antonio, Texas 78205

(w/o enclosures)